

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,900 06/28/2001		Butrus Thomas Khuri-Yakub	S00-038	1532
30869	7590 08/21/2003			
LUMEN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SER 2345 YALE STREET, 2ND FLOOR PALO ALTO, CA 94306		RTY SERVICES, INC.	EXAMINER	
			TRAN, MAI HUONG C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		We				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/896,900	KHURI-YAKUB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mai-Huong Tran	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	July 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	•					
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	•					
,— ,, <u>—</u> , • • .,	pove claim(s) <u>16-46</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	roved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona						
• —	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 5)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/896,900

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Application's election without traverse of Group I (Claims 1-15) in Paper No. 10 drawn to a semiconductor device is acknowledged for prosecution in the subject application. Accordingly, claims 16-46 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Applicants have the right to file a divisional application covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,257,739 to Sun et al. in view of the remark.

- Application/Control Number: 09/896,900

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding to claim 1, Sun et al. disclose an electromechanical device comprising two or more electrodes 316, 317; a membrane 72/74 positioned with the two or more electrodes, wherein one of the two or more electrodes is an input electrode V1 and receives an electrical signal; and a ground 44 referenced to the input electrode V1 and the output electrode V2. Sun does not disclose an electrical signal that causes vibration of the membrane and the vibration is coupled to at least one of the two or more electrodes that is an output electrode.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form an electrical signal that causes vibration of the membrane and the vibration is coupled to at least one of the two or more electrodes that is an output electrode since it was known in the art that an electrical signal that causes vibration of the membrane and the vibration is coupled to at least one of the two or more electrodes that is an output electrode.

Claim 2 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 3 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 4 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 5 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 6 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 7 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

- Application/Control Number: 09/896,900

Art Unit: 2818

Claim 9 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 10 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 11 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 12 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 13 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Claim 15 is rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (703) 305-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mai-Huong Tran

HOAI HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER